

Do Homosexuals Really Want The Right To Marry?

In their own words: Homosexual activists reveal their real agenda.

Homosexuals claim they want the “right” to get married and live normal lives just like heterosexual married couples.

The truth is, however, that the drive to gain legalization of so-called “gay” or “same-sex” marriage is part of a larger sexual agenda. Homosexual activists are now beginning to openly admit that they don’t want to marry just to have a normal home life. *They want same-sex marriage as a way of destroying the concept of marriage altogether—and introducing polygamy and polyamory (group sex) as “families.”*

They are finally admitting what the Traditional Values Coalition (TVC) has been saying for years: Their ultimate goal is to abolish all prohibitions against sex with multiple partners.

WHAT ARE THEY SAYING?

Chris Crain, the editor of the *Washington Blade* has stated that all homosexual activists should fight for the legalization of same-sex marriage as a way of gaining passage of federal anti-discrimination laws that will provide homosexuals with federal protection for their chosen lifestyle.

Crain writes: *“...any leader of any gay rights organization who is not prepared to throw the bulk of their efforts right now into the fight for marriage is squandering resources and doesn’t deserve the position.”* (*Washington Blade*, August, 2003).

Michelangelo Signorile, writing in *Out!* magazine, has stated that homosexuals should *“...fight for same-sex marriage and its benefits and then, once granted, redefine the institution of marriage completely ... To debunk a myth and radically alter an archaic institution. ... The most subversive action lesbians and gays can undertake—and one that would perhaps benefit all of society—is to transform the notion of ‘family’ altogether.”* (*Out!* magazine, Dec./Jan., 1994)

Andrew Sullivan, a homosexual activist writing in his book, *Virtually Normal*, says that once same-sex marriage is legalized, heterosexuals will have to develop a greater *“understanding of the need for extramarital outlets between two men than between a man and a woman.”* He notes: *“The truth is, homosexuals are not entirely normal; and to flatten their varied and complicated lives into a single, moralistic model is to miss what is essential and exhilarating about their otherness.”* (Sullivan, *Virtually Normal*, pp. 202-203)

Paula Ettelbrick, a law professor and homosexual activist has said: *“Being queer is more than setting up house, sleeping with a person of the same gender, and seeking state approval for doing so. ... Being queer means pushing the parameters of sex, sexuality, and family; and in the process, transforming the very fabric of society. ... We must keep our eyes on the goals of providing true alternatives to marriage and of radically reordering society’s view of reality.”* (partially quoted in “Beyond Gay Marriage,” Stanley Kurtz, *The Weekly Standard*, August 4, 2003)

Evan Wolfson has stated: *“Isn’t having the law pretend that there is only one family model that works (let alone exists) a lie? ... marriage is not just about procreation—indeed is not necessarily about procreation at all.”* (quoted in “What Marriage Is For,” by Maggie Gallagher, *The Weekly Standard*, August 11, 2003)

Mitchel Raphael, editor of the Canadian homosexual magazine *Fab*, says: *“Ambiguity is a good word for the feeling among gays about marriage. I’d be for marriage if I thought gay people would challenge and change the institution and not buy into the traditional meaning of ‘till death do us part’ and monogamy forever. We should be Oscar Wildes and not like everyone else watching the play.”* (quoted in “Now Free To Marry, Canada’s Gays Say, ‘Do I?’” by Clifford Krauss, *The New York Times*, August 31, 2003.)

1972 Gay Rights Platform Demands: *“Repeal of all legislative provisions that restrict the **sex** or **number** of persons entering into a marriage unit. ...”* [Emphasis added.]